Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,616	VERDIJK, MARK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634
All Participants:	Status of Application	1: NON Jual
(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).	(3)	
(2) Mr. Justin Cassell.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 April 2005	Time: <u>2:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed:		·
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Applicant agrees to change the dependency of claim 8 from the second		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the separate is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in the separate in the separate is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in the separate is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in the separate is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in the separate is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in the separate is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in the separate is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate is not necessary for applicant t	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		•
Had B. Thompson #		
	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)